1st Cuneo City Immunotherapy Conference (CCITC)

Immunotherapy in Hematological Malignancies 2018

CUNEO May 17-19, 2018 Centro Incontri

ASSIVE IMMUNOTHERAPY: TARGETING TUMOR CELLS

CD38 in myeloma and beyond: groundwork & outlook

Alessandra Larocca, MD, PhD Divisione di Ematologia 1 AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza University of Torino, Italy, EU

sclosures: A Larocca

| search Support/P.I. | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| ployee | |
| nsultant | |
| jor Stockholder | |
| eakers Bureau | |
| noraria | Janssen-Cilag, Celgene, BMS, Amgen |
| entific Advisory Board | BMS |

is presentation may contain unregistered products or indications of investigational drugs, please check the drug compendium or consult the company

CD38 as a Therapeutic Target



Flow cytometry of CD38 on myeloma cells

CD38 is a type II transmembrane glycoprotein, strongly expressed by myeloma cells

Role in cell signaling, cell adhesion, signal transduction, calcium homeostasis, production adenosine (immunosuppressive effect)

CD38 as a potential therapeutic antibody target for treatment of multiple myeloma (MM)

Rationale for moAbs in MM

The impact of rituximab in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma



Can we find a monoclonal antibody that will change the course of myeloma in a similar way?

e bone marrow microenvironment influences tumor growth in N

MM, the balance between tumor growth and tumor suppression is shaped by complex interactic etween immune, non-immune, and malignant MM cells within the bone marrow microenvironme



, bone marrow stromal cells; DC, dendritic cell; Grz, granzyme; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; myeloid derived suppressor cell; MM, multiple myeloma; NK, natural killer; pfp, perforin; TAM, tumor ted macrophage; TGF, transforming growth factor; Th, T helper; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; Treg, bry T cell; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.

Factors supporting tumor growth

- IL-6 released by BMSCs, tumor-associated macrophages, and osteoclasts promotes MM cell proliferation, survival, and drug resistance
- VEGF released by BMSCs and TAMs stimulates angiogenesis

Factors suppressing tumor growth

- CD8+ T cells and NK cells secrete IFN-y and kill MM cells directly
- Th1 CD4+ T cells may inhibit tumor growth

Factors suppressing anti-MM immune cell activity

- MDSCs and Tregs secrete immunosuppressive factors such as IL-10 and TGF-β
- Th2 CD4+ T cells may promote tumor growth

Immune Evasion Plays a Critical Role in Myeloma Pathogenesis



Disease progression

Rationale for Immunotherapy in Multiple Myeloma



TARGETS FOR IMMUNOTHERAPY

- Targeting MM cell surface Ags MoAb Anti-SLAMF7 MoAb Anti-CD38
- In MM patients, the normal immune system favors tumor proliferation
 Overcoming inhibitory immunosuppressi
 Check-point inhibitors
 IMIDs

Boosting immune effectors Adoptive cell therapy

munomodulatory agent; MoAb, monoclonal antibody; PD-1, programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; SLAMF7, signaling lymphoc molecule family member 7.

Targets for monoclonal antibody therapy in myeloma



Three CD38 monoclonal antibodies



decreasing immunogenicity

Anti-CD38-monoclonal antibodies act through different modes of action in MM

In vitro comparison of Daratumumab with analogs of CD38 antibodies

| ΜοΑ | DARATUMUMAB | ISATUXIMAB | MOR202 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Origin, isotype | Human IgG-kappa | Chimeric IgG1- kappa | Human IgG1-lambda |
| CDC | +++ | + | + |
| ADCC | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| ADCP | +++ | nd | ++ |
| PCD direct | - | ++ | - |
| PCD cross linking | +++ | +++ | +++ |
| Modulation ectoenzyme function | + | +++ | - |

ement-dependent cytotoxicity

oody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity

mmed cell death

ody-dependent cell-mediated phagocytosis

Van de Donk N, et al. Blood. 2018;137

Preclinical evidence for anti CD38-moAbs in MM

CD38 expression correlates with cell death (patient samples)



omplement-dependent cytotoxicity Antibodv-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity

*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001; ns, not significant Nijhof et al. Leukemia 2015;29(10

CD38 expression: determinants of efficacy Patients treated in GEN501 or SIRIUS (MMY2002)



median fluorescence intensity CD38

artial response

Nijhof IS, et al. Blood 2016;128

CD38 is rapidly reduced on MM cells from patients

38 expression on MM cells in BM samples obtained from 21 patients, who were subsequently treate h daratumumab at a dose of 16 mg/kg in the GEN501 study.

Patient 1:



nedian fluorescence intensity CD38

```
01: ***P < 0.001: ****P < 0.0001
gressive disease
```

104

105

Patient 2:

104

105

ara combined with LEN or BORT in BORT and LEN-refractory MI

aratumumab induced significant levels of MM cell lysis in the BM-MNC from refractory MM patients.

M cell lysis was significantly improved from 29.7% with daratumumab alone to 39.4% upon mbination of daratumumab-lenalidomide in patients were refractory to lenalidomide.



Clinical evidences for anti CD38-moAbs in MM

Daratumumab Single Agent (GEN501 and SIRIUS*) Median N prior lines: 5

Refractory to Bortezomib and Lenalidomide: 87%; Refractory also to Pomalidomide: 55%

Creatinine clearence ≥ 30 (97%); age ≥75: 11%



analysis

ce interval; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; ORR, overall response rate; PR, nse; VGPR, very good partial response; CR, complete response, sCR, stringent CR; CBR, clinical onse (≥SD).

Usmani S, et al. Oral presentation: ASH 2015; Orlando, FL. Abs

Isatuximab monotherapy

TED10893 phase 2 study of isatuximab monotherapy in RRMM

Median prior lines of therapy 5



Response

RRMM patients double refractory to PI and IMiDs or have received ≥3 prior lines of therapy

Vij R, et al. Presented at EHA 2016 (Abstract P274), poster presentation

mo

MOR202

Phase 1/2a study of MOR202 + POM-D or len-dex in RRMM: updated interim analysis Best maximum change in M-protein



| | MOR202 q1w + Dex (n=18) | MOR202 q1w + LEN/Dex (n=17) | MOR202 q1w + POM/Dex (n=13) |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Median prior lines of therapy, n | 3 | 2* | 3 |
| Refractory to any prior therapy, % | 67 | 56 | 100 |
| ORR, % | 28 | 71 | 46 |
| CR, % | 0 | 6 | 15 |
| Median (95% CI) PFS, months | 4.7 (1.5, NE) | Not reached (5.1, NE) | 17.5 (2.8, NE) |
| Median follow-up, months | 22.1 months | 7.5 months | 8.5 |

ne patient in the LEN/Dex cohorts data of prior therapies not yet available

Raab MS, et al. Poster presentation at ASCO 2017. Abstract 8024

VD versus VD plus Daratumumab (CASTOR)

Median follow-up: 26.9 monthsMedian follow-up: 19.4 montDVd vs VdDVd vs VdDVd vs VdProgression-free survivalProgression-free survival-2MRD negativity



ogression-free survival; HR, hazard ratio, CI, confidence interval; m, months; d, low dose thasone; D, daratumumab; V, bortezomib; MRD, minimal residual disease

Spencer A, et al. Presented at ASH 2017 (Abstract 3145), poster prese Lentzsch S, et al. Presented at ASCO 2017 (Abstract 8036), poster prese Weisel K, et al. Presented at EHA 2017 (Abstract S459), oral prese

Rd versus Rd Daratumumab (POLLUX)

Median follow-up: 32.9 months

DRd vs Rd Progression-free survival



0.44 (0.34-0.55)

< 0.0001

dian PFS, mo (95% CI) alue

DRd vs Rd Progressi<u>on-free survival- 2</u>



| | DRd (n=286) | Rd (n=283) |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|
| PFS2, mo | NR 0.51 (0.2 | 32.3 38-0 67) |
| | 0.0> <0.(| 0001 |

Median P

HR (95%

P value

DRd vs Rd MRD negativity



MRD assessed using clonoSEQ® assay V2.0

ogression-free survival; HR, hazard ratio, CI, confidence interval; P, P value; d, low xamethasone; D, daratumumab; R, lenalidomide; MRD, minimal residual disease.

Dimopoulos MA, et al. Presented at ASH 2017 (Abstract 739), oral prese Lentzsch S, et al. Presented at ASCO 2017 (Abstract 8036), poster prese Weisel K, et al. Presented at EHA 2017 (Abstract S459), oral prese

Daratumumab-VMP vs VMP in NDMM transplant ineligibl

ALCYONE phase 3 study of daratumumab + VMP in NDMM Design



0MM, newly diagnosed multiple myeloma; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; ISS, International Staging System; I, European Union; VMP, bortezomib/melphalan/prednisone; SC, subcutaneously; PO, orally; D, daratumumab; IV, intravenously; 0, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; ORR, overall response rate; VGPR, very good partial response; 8, complete response; MRD, minimal residual disease; NGS, next-generation sequencing; OS, overall survival. Mateos MV, et al. Presented at ASH 2017 (Abstract LBA-4), oral presentati

Daratumumab-VMP versus VMP

ALCYONE Efficacy: ORR^a

Median duration of response: 21.3 months in VMP versus not reached in D-VMP



| | VMP (n = 263) ^c | D-VMP (n = 318) ^c |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Median (range) time to first response, months | 0.82 (0.7-12.6) | 0.79 (0.4-15.5) |
| Median (range) time to best response, months | 4.11 (0.7-20.5) | 4.93 (0.5-21.0) |

Significantly higher ORR, ≥VGPR rate, and ≥CR rate with D-VMP; >2-fold increase in rate of sCR with D-VMP

PR, partial response; sCR, stringent complete response.

ITT population. bP <0.0001; P value was calculated with the use of the Cochran–Mantel–Haenszel chi-square test.</p>

Responders in response-evaluable population.

Daratumumab-VMP versus VMP

ALCYONE Efficacy: PFS

Median (range) follow-up: 16.5 (0.1-28.1) months



50% reduction in the risk of progression or death in patients receiving D-VMP

S, progression-free survival; VMP, bortezomib/melphalan/prednisone; daratumumab; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval. aplan-Meier estimate.

Mateos MV, et al. Presented at ASH 2017 (Abstract LBA-4), oral presentati

Daratumumab-VMP versus VMP

ALCYONE Efficacy: MRD^a (NGS; 10⁻⁵ Sensitivity Threshold)



Median (range) follow-up: 16.5 (0.1-28.1) months

>3-fold higher MRD-negativity rate with D-VMP; Lower risk of progression or death in all MRD-negative patients

VIRD, minimal residual disease; NGS, next-generation sequencing using clonoSEQ version 2.0 (Adaptive); VMP, portezomib/melphalan/prednisone; D, daratumumab; CR, complete response; sCR stringent complete response. Assessed at time of confirmation of CR/sCR, and if confirmed, 12, 18, 24, and 30 months after first dose.

Mateos MV, et al. Presented at ASH 2017 (Abstract LBA-4), oral presentation.

CD38 in myeloma and beyond groundwork & outlook

Daratumumab + CHOP or R-CHOP: Preclinical Models of NHL



Evaluation of 16 NHL cell lines for CD38 expression suggested that CD38 expression levels varied among cell lines, but *the majority (81%, n=13/16) had >1000 CD38 receptors per cell*.

ected by flow cytometry using anti-CD38 antibody (blue curve); isotype control antibody (red curve) was used as a contr

Daratumumab + CHOP or R-CHOP: Preclinical Models of NHL

Effect of daratumumab ± CHOP in NAMALWA and SU-DHL-6 xenograft models CD38 expression: 55,208.14 molecules/cell 4,800 1 Vehicle (IgG) 10 mg/kg QW×3 4,400 Daratumumab 10 mg/kg QW×3 4,000 Tumor size (mm³) CHOP OD X 5 3,600 <0.01 all groups versus vehicle control</p> Daratumumab 10 mg/kg QW×3 3,200 0.028 combination versus CHO + CHOP OD X 5 2,800 2,400 2,000 1,600 1,200 CHOP 800 400 26 17 20 23 11 14 DARA Days after tumor inoculation CD38 expression: 114,027.7 molecules/cell 5,000 Vehicle (IgG) 10 mg/kg QW×3 4,500 Daratumumab 10 mg/kg QW×3 P <0.01 daratumumab groups versus</p> 4,000 CHOP OD X 5 Tumor size (mm³) 3,500 Daratumumab 10 mg/kg QW×3 3,000 + CHOP QD X 5 OP ns compared to control 2,500 2,000 Combination ns compared to faratumumał 1,500 СНОР 1,000

SCID mice

NAMALWA

: treatment

ated when

mm³

s were ~200

/SCID mice

HL-6 cells:

eatment

ated when

nors were

200 mm³

500

0

DARA

17

20

23

Days after tumor inoculation

26

29

ected with

2×10⁵

ected with

In NAMALWA in vivo model, DARA in combination with CHOP showed significant tumor growth inhibition (47%, p < 0.001) compared to the control group on day 26.

In SU-DHL-6 model, daratumumab either alone or in combination with CHOP showed significant tumor growth inhibition (55% an 63%, respectively, p<0.01) by day 32.

Daratumumab + CHOP or R-CHOP: Preclinical Models of NHL

Effect of daratumumab on tumor growth in patient-derived DLBCL model



SCID mice injected with fragments from ST1361 patient-derived tumor; assessment of tumor growth inhibition and overall survival was initiated when mean tumor volume was ~150-250 mm³. Daratumumab was administered weekly at 20 mg/kg ± CHOP

In a patient-derived DLBCL model with high (3+) CD38 expression in 80% of tumor cells by IHC, daratumumatic in combination with CHOP or R-CHOP showed tumor regression and the tumors did not regrow when the treatment with daratumumab was stopped after 3 doses.

aratumumab in Mantle Cell Lymphoma and Follicular Lymphoma

- Daratumumab induces cell killing by ADCC and ADCP in MCL and FL cells
- Lenalidomide pretreatment of PBMCs significantly increases daratumumab ADCC activity
- Prophylactic daratumumab treatment inhibits MCL and FL tumor growth *in vivo* in subcutaneous tumor models
- Daratumumab improves overall survival in systemic mouse models of MCL and FL
- Combination of daratumumab with CHOP or R-CHOP are highly effective regimens in a tFL mouse model

Daratumumab +/- Vincristine: Preclinical Models of Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)

CD38 expression in representative ALL tumor cell line and effect of vincristine on CD38 expression



NALM-6

FL2-H



PE-CD38

CD38 expression in NALM-6 cells detected via flow cytometry using anti-CD38 antibody; isotype control antibody was used as a control NALM-6 cells incubated with either dimethyl sulfoxide or vincristine (100 or 200 nM) for 24 hours

Evaluation of the expression of CD38 in 9 ALL cell lines suggested that **CD38 expression varied among different cell lines but the majority (88%, n=8/9) had >1000 CD38 receptors per cell.** Treatment with vincristine did not modulate CD38 expression.

Daratumumab +/- Vincristine: Preclinical Models of Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)



umumab either alone or with vincristine showed significant prolongation of survival.

nimals in the control group died by day 22 and the animals in vincristine group died by day 43, however, 80-100% of Is in daratumumab alone or in combination with vincristine survived beyond day 88.

Daratumumab +/- Vincristine: Preclinical Models of Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL)



Tumor burden measured for NOD/SCID mice injected with 2×10⁶ ALL-7015 (n = 10) and ALL-7473 (n = 10) tumor cells. Dosing initiated when tumor burden in peripheral blood was 5%-10% CD45⁺ cells; mice were treated weekly with control IgG or daratumumab

tient-derived CD38+/BCR-ABL+ **B-ALL**-7015 model, daratumumab treatment resulted in significant tumor grow tion p<0.001) by day 22 compared to the control antibody.

38+/BCR-ABL- **T-ALL**-7473 model, treatment with daratumumab resulted in significant tumor growth inhibitio (p<0.05) but the animals developed disease by day 14 and were sacrificed on day 21. Doshi P, et al. E

Other hematological malignancies

- CD38 antibodies activity against lymphoma cell lines and mouse model.
- Daratumumab exerts significant cytotoxicity against chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) cells via ADCC and ADCP, but without significant CDC, probably as a result of high complement inhibitor expression.
- Daratumumab interferes with CD38 signaling and reduces CLL adhesion, migration, and homing.
- CD38 is also strongly expressed on NK cells, which explains the sustained response with daratumumab in a patient with relapsed/refractory *nasal-type extranodal NKcell–T-cell lymphoma*
- Preclinical studies have also shown activity of CD38 antibodies against T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and B-cell ALL, *Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia*.

CD38 in myeloma and beyond: groundwork & outlook

History of CD38 antibodies

93 For the first time, rituximab is used to treat lymphoma.

P. Ehrlich and E. von Behring

1980

CD38 (T10) identified by

97 The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approves rituximab the treatment of lymphoma.



Daratumumab Development In MM Settings



Immunotherapeutic strategies are emerging as promising therapeutic approches in MM with several MoAb in advanced stages of clinical development.



Selected ongoing or planned studies with CD38-targeting antibody-containing regimens in other conditions

| Study | Phase | Patients | Treatment |
|-----------------------|-------|--|-----------------------------|
| NCT02841033 | 1/2 | Relapsed or refractory AL amyloidosis | Daratumumab as single agent |
| NCT02816476 (AMYDARA) | 2 | Patients with AL amyloidosis not in VGPR or better after previous treatment | Daratumumab as single agent |
| NCT03067571 | 2 | AML or high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome (relapsed or refractory) | Daratumumab as single agent |
| NCT03011034 | 2 | Transfusion-dependent patients with low or intermediate-1 risk myelodysplastic syndrome who are relapsed or refractory to erythropoiesis-stimulating agents | Daratumumab as single agent |
| NCT02413489 (Carina) | 2 | Relapsed/refractory CD38 ⁺ mantle cell lymphoma, diffuse large B- cell lymphoma, and follicular lymphoma | Daratumumab as single agent |
| NCT02927925 | 2 | Relapsed/refractory NKTCL, nasal type | Daratumumab as single agent |
| NCT01084252 | 1/2 | Relapsed/refractory CD38* hematological malignancies such as B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma, MM, AML, B-ALL, and CLL | Isatuximab as single agent |
| NCT02999633 | 2 | Relapsed or refractory T-ALL and T-LBL | Isatuximab as single agent |

Conclusions CD38

D38 antibodies have impressive single agent activity in heavily pretreated MM patients

D38 antibodies can also safely be added to backbone regimens, markedly increasing their icacy.

D38 antibodies will increasingly be incorporated into first-line anti MM regimens over the nex ars given their efficacy and manageable toxicity profile.

rious studies are currently evaluating the role of CD38 antibodies in induction, consolidation distribution of maintenance in both transplant-eligible and elderly patients with newly diagnosed MM.

D38 antibodies are also being evaluated in smoldering MM to prevent progression to active

Irthermore, CD38 antibodies are currently also under investigation in other hematologic alignancies, as well as in solid tumors.

Acknowledgments

Divisione di Ematologia Ospedaliero Azienda della Scienza Universitaria Città della Salute e Torino, Italy Prof. Mario Boccadoro Dr. Paola Omedé & Dr. Sara Bringhen **Laboratory Staff Dr. Francesca Gay** Dr. Stefania Oliva Dr. Benedetto Bruno & Dr Mariella Genuardi **Transplant Unit** Dr. Chiara Cerrato Nurses Dr. Giusy Cetani Dr. Mattia D'Agostino **Data Managing Staff** Dr. Francesca Bonello Dr. Roberto Mina Statistician Dr. Stefano Spada Dr. Marco Salvini Dr. Gianni Ciccone and CPO