SESSION I

Moderators: Antonio Cuneo (Ferrara), Francesco Lanza (Ravenna)

8:45

Biology of aggressive lymphomas:

- Diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL)
- · Primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL)
- Primary mediastinal B cell lymphoma (PMBCL)
- Mantle cell lymphoma
- T cell NOS
- Angioimmunoblastic T cell lymphoma
- Anaplastic T cell lymphoma

Elena Sabattini (Bologna)

9:45

DLBCL Young patients: Therapy for limited stages and IPI < 2 *Luigi Rigacci (Firenze)*

10:15

DLBCL Young patients: Therapy for High risk IPI ≥ 2 Umberto Vitolo (Torino)

10:45 Coffee Break

11:00

Terapeutic strategies for elderly patients Michael Pfreundschuh (Homburg-DE)

11:40

Mantle cell lymphoma: Risk adapted strategies and current treatment algorithm *Emanuele Zucca (Bellinzona-CH)*

12:20

PMBCL: First line treatment and salvage therapy Maurizio Martelli (Roma)

12:50

PCNSL: State of the art Andres J.M. Ferreri (Milano)

Unità di Emolinfopatologia Istituto L&A Seragnoli AOU di Bologna

AGGRESSIVE LYMPHOMAS



Rimini 20 maggio 2016

DLBCL: most frequent, made of large lymphocytes positive for B-cell markers, but morphologically, phenotipically, biologically and clinically heterogenous group of malignant diseases; IPI: still the most robust prognostic indicator



Distinct types of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma identified by gene expression profiling

в	GCB DLBCL	Type 3 DLBCL	ABC DLBCL	
				GC B Cell
				MHC Class II
				Lymph Node
				Proliferation
				BMP-6
				Score





1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 910111213141516





Algorithm and IPT	No.	Concordance with GEP results(%)
Choi		
GCB	83	87
ABC	86	
Hans		
GCB	79	86
ABC	90	
Muris		
GCB	122	77
ABC	45	
Nyman		
GCB	62	81
ABC	108	
Natkunam		
GCB	84	74
ABC	86	
HIERACH IMMUN	HICAL O NE-REAC	RDER OF TIVITY CET1 as CC

related IRF4, FOX1 as ABC related Bcl2





All algorythms divided cases into groups that predicted OS and EFS independent of the IPI. More successful ones those compared with GEP

WHO 2008 GEP not available as a routine clinical test issues of reproducibility and reliability of ihc algorithms, DBCL subclassification of DLBCL, NOS was optional

availability of target therapies for genes/pathways involved in GCB or non-GCB subsets

WHO 2016 identification of these two subtypes required

But since GEP is <u>still not a routine test</u> IHC algorithms <u>is acceptable (specify which algorithm)</u>

(although WHO acknowledges that they do not recognize the 10–15% of tumors unclassified by GEP

However, also GEP does not recognize 10-15% cases

Comprehensive gene expression profiling and immunohistochemical studies support application of immunophenotypic algorithm for molecular subtype classification in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: A report from the International DLBCL Rituximab-CHOP Consortium Program Study

Carlo Visco^{1,2}, Yan Li³, Zijun Y. Xu-Monette¹, Roberto N. Miranda¹, Tina M. Green⁴, Yong Li⁵, Alexander Tzankov⁶, Wei Wen³, Wei-min Liu³, Brad S. Kahl⁷, Emanuele S. G. d'Amore², Santiago Montes-Moreno⁸, Karen Dybkær⁹, April Chiu¹⁰, Wayne Tam¹¹, Attilio Orazi¹¹, Youli Zu¹², Govind Bhagat¹³, Jane N. Winter¹⁴, Huan-You Wang¹⁵, Stacey O'Neill¹⁶, Cherie H. Dunphy¹⁶, Eric D. Hsi¹⁷, X. Frank Zhao¹⁸, Ronald S. Go¹⁹, William W. L. Choi²⁰, Fan Zhou²¹, Magdalena Czader²², Jiefeng Tong²³, Xiaoying Zhao²³, J. Han van Krieken²⁴, Qing Huang²⁵, Weiyun Ai²⁶, Joan Etzell²⁶, Maurilio Ponzoni²⁷, Andres J. M. Ferreri²⁷, Miguel A. Piris⁸, Michael B. Møller⁴, Carlos E. Bueso-Ramos¹, L. Jeffrey Medeiros¹, Lin Wu³, and Ken H. Young^{1,1}

A. Four-marker algorithm (Visco-Young algorithm)

GCB (190 patients; 10 ABC, 13 uncl) GCB (190 patients; 10 ABC, 13 uncl) GCB (25 patients; 1 ABC, 2 uncl) **CD10** non-GCB (36 patients: 4 GCB, 9 uncl) (≥30%) **CD10** FOXP1 non-GCB (19 patients; 3 GCB, 1 uncl) (≥30%) (≥60%) BCL (≥30% non-GCB (34 patients: 3 GCB, 8 uncl) GCET1 FOXP GCB (62 patients; 6 ABC, 8 uncl) (≥60%) BCL6 (≥60%) ≥30%) FOXP1 GCB (39 patients; 5 ABC, 7 uncl) Non-GCB (187 patients; 12 GCB, 14 uncl) (≥60%) non-GCB (168 patients; 9 GCB, 13 uncl)

Following B-cell steps of differentiation

B. Three-marker algorithm (Visco-Young algorithm)



Visco Young algorythm

Stratifying diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients treated with chemoimmunotherapy: GCB/non-GCB by immunohistochemistry is still a robust and feasible marker

Ana Batlle-López¹, Sonia González de Villambrosía¹, Francisco Mazorra¹, Sefora Malatxeberria¹, Anabel Sáez², Carlos Montalban³, Lydia Sánchez⁴, Juan F Garcia⁵, Eva González-Barca⁶, Andrés López⁷, MC Ruiz-Marcellan⁷, Manuela Mollejo⁸, Carlos Grande⁹, KL Richards¹⁰, ED Hsi¹¹, Alexandar Tzankov¹², Carlo Visco¹³, Zijun Y Xu-Monette¹⁴, Xin Cao¹⁴, Ken H Young¹⁴, Miguel Angel Piris¹, Eulogio Conde¹, Santiago Montes-Moreno¹

	GEP analysis		
Algorithms	GCB (231)	ABC (200)	Concordance (%)
Three-marker algorithm (Visco-Young Algorithm)			
GCB	215	16	93.1
Non-GCB	16	184	92.0
Four-marker algorithm (Visco-Young Algorithm)			
GCB	216	16	93.2
Non-GCB	15	184	92.5
Choi algorithm			
GCB	216	28	88.6
Non-GCB	15	172	91.9
Hans algorithm			
GCB	209	33	86.3
Non-GCB	22	167	88.3

Geiss et al. Nat Biotechnol 2008, Scott et al Blood 2014, Scott et al. JCO 2015

GEP from RNA extracted from on **FFPE** (LymphC2x (20 gene probes and Nanostring Techology, Seattle USA), so more applicable in routine tissue

Defines/confirms the ABC and GCB groups, but **maintains unclassifiable group** Roughly 32%, 56%, 11%



ABC cases showed greater stage III/IV and high IPI scores

OTHER PHENOTYPIC AND/OR GENOTYPIC/MOLECULAR FEATURES HAVE TO BE CONSIDERED

Cytogenetically most frequent rearranged genes

BCL6/3q27: 30-40% BCL2/18q21: 20-30%, mostly as t(14;18)(p32;q21) MYC/8q24: 7-15%

Usually as single gene abnormalities which lead to protein overexpression

but data on their prognostic impact are not unanimous

As single abnormality: impact is more debated among publications; BCL6 no impact;



Horn et al.



If events are concurrent prognostication is more definite

Double Hit LBCLs

poor outcome 7-15% of LBCL cases <u>Most have MYC/bcl2/bcl6</u> <u>proteins highly expressed</u>

MYC/BCL2: more common 90% in GCB-type



MYC/BCL6 less common, mainly extranodal, IRF4+/BCL6+/CD10-50% GCB-type clinically



WHO 2016

 All LBCL with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements will be included in a single category designated
 High Grade B-cell Lymphoma, with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements, The morphologic appearance should be noted in a comment.

•B-Cell LYMPHOMA UNCLASSIFIABLE will be eliminated.

•Cases that appear blastoid or morphologically intermediate between DLBCL and BL but <u>which lack a MYC and BCL2</u> and/ or BCL6 rearrangement, will be placed in the category of <u>HGBL, NOS</u>. This category include also TRIPLE HIT DLBCLs: very rare, few reports

Translocations partners

BCL2/IGH, BCL6/MYC, IGH, Igk, IG lambda, other MYC/IGH, IGLambda, BCL6

CD10+/FOXP1+/BCL2+

(more similar to MYC/BCL2 DHL) MYC+- (60%), BCL6+- (70%), IRF4 50% **Mostly GCB-type**

Very aggressive course



Triple-hit B-cell Lymphoma With MYC, BCL2, and BCL6 Translocations/Rearrangements

Clinicopathologic Features of 11 Cases

Wei Wang, MD, PhD, Shimin Hu, MD, PhD, Xinyan Lu, MD, PhD, Ken H. Young, MD, PhD, and L. Jeffrey Medeiros, MD

(Am J Surg Pathol 2015;39:1132-1139)

What the WHO 2016 does not include, are the "atypical DH-LBCLs"

B-cell lymphomas with concurrent *MYC* and *BCL2* abnormalities other than translocations behave similarly to *MYC/BCL2* double-hit

Iymphomas MODERN PATHOLOGY (2015) 28, 208-217

Shaoying Li¹, Adam C Seegmiller¹, Pei Lin², Xuan J Wang¹, Roberto N Miranda², Sharathkumar Bhagavathi³ and L Jeffrey Medeiros²

MYC translocated + BCL2 amplified: 3 cases MYC amplified + BCL2 translocated: 22 cases MYC amplified + BCL2 amplified: 15 cases



Clinical features compared to non DHL higher presence of

Tranformed (from low grade >FL)
 Positive bone marrow and > 2 extranodal sites (40%)
 Complex karyotype
 Same immunophenotypic features and OS as typical DHL and worse than non-DH LBCLs

The number of gene copies does not impact (≥3) More often belong to GCB-type Not all "atypical DHL" are dual expressors



High Grade B-cell Lymphoma, with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 Rearrangements (ex-D/THLs) represent a minority of DLBCLs

Shall pathologists have to "fish" all DLBCLs (all types, all ages)?

A <u>consensus has not yet been reached to provide specific guidelines</u> <u>as to which LBCL should have FISH studies</u>

Some believe that <u>all DLBCL</u> should have genetic studies for the detection of DHL, while others <u>would limit them</u>, for example, to cases with a GCB phenotype and/or high grade morphology or to cases with >40% MYC+ cells.

THIS BRINGS US TO THE ISSUE OF MYC/BCL2 PROTEIN-EXPRESSION

Dual Expressor-LBCLS

- MYC and BCL2 proteins (by ihc: cut off MYC >40%, BCL2 >50%)
- DHL are DE (with high percentage of positive cells) but few DE are DHL (3%) coexpression regardless the mechanism Inactivation of p53, Increased protein instability, activation of pathways upstream to MYC (NF-Kb), loss of immunity, microRNA profiles which may influence MYC expression
- Approximately **30%** DLBCLs
- ABC subset (differently from DHL)
- **GEP**: unique cell adhesion and cell proliferation signature
- <u>Such cases unfavourably impact</u> <u>on outcome</u>





Table 3. Differentially expressed genes in $\rm MYC^+BCL2^+$ de novo DLBCL

Gene functional categories	No. of genes	Representative genes
Downregulated genes		
ECM, ECM production and remodeling	33	COL3A1, VCAN, TNS1, FN1, THBS2, TIMP3, SPARC, SULF1, SPINK2, MMP2, ADAM12, FGFR1, FAP
Cell adhesion and cytoskeletal organization	21	CD11A/CD11B, CD58, THY1, RFTN1 ANTXR1, RHOB, MICAL2
Cell growth regulation	16	LM02, TRAF1, CDK14, SGK1, RGS1, NBL, PDE4D
Others, including unknown	18	PSAP, LYZ, LOC115110, ZNF662
Upregulated genes		
Cell proliferation	20	MYC, BCL2, TCL1A, MLL, FOXP1, SPIB, TCF4, TNFRSF13B, PMDAIP1, GAB1, PLOR3G
Cell metabolism	5	DCTPP1, CYB5R2, HK2, TMEM97, CYB5R2
Miscellaneous cell functions	13	PPIL1, PIGW, FUT8, SPINK5
Unknown	27	KIAA0664, C9orf91, ZNF107

Scott et al.JCO 2015

Johnson et al.JCO 2012





<u>Unanimous agreement on their</u> <u>unfavourable impact on outcome</u>





..maintained within IPI category and TP53 mutated pts

WHO 2016

Though poorly behaving DE-LBCL, NOS are not as aggressive as the DHL/THL

... considered a <u>prognostic indicator</u> in DLBCL,NOS but not a separate category



Since DHL fell within GCB and DE fell within ABC is there a relationship between COO and MYC/BCL2 overexpression?

<u>no definite data</u>

MYC/BCL2 protein coexpression contributes to the inferior survival	
of activated B-cell subtype of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and	
demonstrates high-risk gene expression signatures: a report from The)
International DLBCL Rituximab-CHOP Consortium Program	

Shimin Hu,¹ Zijun Y. Xu-Monette,¹ Alexander Tzankov,² Tina Green,³ Lin Wu,⁴ Aarthi Balasubramanyam,⁴ Wei-min Liu,⁴ Carlo Visco,⁵ Yong Li,⁶ Roberto N. Miranda,¹ Santiago Montes-Moreno,⁷ Karen Dybkaer,⁸ April Chiu,⁹ Attilio Orazi,¹⁰ Youli Zu,¹¹ Govind Bhagat,¹² Kristy L. Richards,¹³ Eric D. Hsi,¹⁴ William W. L. Choi,¹⁵ Xiaoying Zhao,¹⁶ J. Han van Krieken,¹⁷ Qin Huang,¹⁸ Jooryung Huh,¹⁹ Weiyun Ai,²⁰ Maurilio Ponzoni,²¹ Andrés J. M. Ferreri,²¹ Fan Zhou,²² Graham W. Slack,²³ Randy D. Gascoyne,²³ Meifeng Tu,²⁴ Daina Variakojis,²⁵ Weina Chen,²⁶ Ronald S. Go,²⁷ Miguel A. Piris,⁷ Michael B. Møller,³ L. Jeffrey Medeiros,¹ and Ken H. Young¹ (*Blood.* 2013;121(20);4021-4031)

893 cases

344 cases

Prognostic Significance of Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma Cell of Origin Determined by Digital Gene Expression in Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissue Biopsies

David W. Scott, Anja Mottok, Daisuke Ennishi, George W. Wright, Pedro Farinha, Susana Ben-Neriah, Robert Kridel, Garrett S. Barry, Christoffer Hother, Pau Abrisqueta, Merrill Boyle, Barbara Meissner, Adele Telenius, Kerry J. Savage, Laurie H. Sehn, Graham W. Slack. Christian Steidl. Louis M. Staudt. Joseph M. Connors, Lisa M. Rimsza, and Randy D. Gascoyne J Clin Oncol 33:2848-2856. © 2015



Hu et al. Blood 2013

Within GCB cases DE impact on outcome

(but many are DHL!!)







However in the same cohort, when only DE are considered, COO does not reach significance consistently with data from Hu et at. 2013 as if it was the MYC/BCL2+ that defined poor prognosis independently on COO

Which seems to be indirectly shown by graphs on non-DE where COO defines the difference



These persisting uncertainties may explain the lack of guidelines for FISHING cases

A consensus has not yet been reached to provide specific guidelines as to which LBCL should have FISH studies Some believe that all DLBCL should have genetic studies for the detection of DHL, while others would limit them, for example, to cases with a GCB phenotype and/or high grade morphology or to cases with >40% MYC+ cells.

in addition to COO, MYC/BCL2: SOMATIC MUTATIONS

= in all DLBCL, ≠ in GCB and ABC common in both DLBCL subtypes

inactivating mutations of **TP53** and genes involved in immunosurveillance (B2M, CD58), alterations in **epigenetic regulators** (CREBBP/EP300, KMT2D/C [MLL2/3], MEF2B), oncogenic activation of **BCL6**.

GCB-DLBCL

histone methyl transferase EZH2, BCL2 translocations, mutations in the cell motility regulator GNA13 <u>ABC-DLBCL</u>

activating the **BCR/TLR** and **NFKB pathways** (MYD88, CD79A, CARD11, TNFAIP3)

clinical implications not fully understood, but likely necessary for guiding targeted therapies in the future

Primary Mediastinal LBCL

Primary Mediastinal B-Cell Lymphoma

High Frequency of BCL-6 Mutations and Consistent Expression of the Transcription Factors OCT-2, BOB.1, and PU.1 in the Absence of Immunoglobulins





Thymic medullary B cell,

full B cell phenotype, CD30+, BCL6+/IRF4+; low light chain immunoglobulin expression, defective surface MHC class II molecules Molecular signature clearly different from other DLBCL, with similarites with cHL The molecular pathogenesis of primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma

Christian Steidl¹ and Randy D. Gascoyne¹

(Blood. 2011;118(10):2659-2669)

¹Department of Pathology and Experimental Therapeutics, British Columbia Cancer Agency, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC

most common chromosomal alterations in PMBCL translocations/amplifications/rearrangement of

EVASION FROM IMMUNE RESPONSE

Class II TransActivator gene/gene complex gains at 9p (genes JAK2, PDL1, PDL2, JMJD2C)

SIGNALING PATHWAYS

JAK/STAT and NFKb pathways SOCS1 mutations/deletions, STAT6 mutations, A20 mutations



Most cases with CIITA-PDCD1LG2 (DEV)



PDL1 (CD274) and PDL2 (PDCD1LG2/PDL affinity receptor) on 9p24.1 translocation or amplification 30% cases transcripts are elevated in both rearranged & NON rearranged cases (alternative mechanisms such as epigenetic and microRNA regulatory factors)

•INDUCE IMMUNE T-CELL ANERGY, AND TO T-REGS

No difference between arranged and non-rearranged cases for clinical parameters and survival



A significant pathogenetic role of a constuitutively activated NFKb pathway is a feature of **Primary Central Nervous System Lymphoma**

due to aberrancies in many KFKb upstream genes/proteins

acting in combination and sinergy

- 40% cases BCR signaling cascade altered by somatic mutations (SHIP, CBL, BLNK)

-- activating mutations or amplification of the BCM compex (BCL10, CARD11 and MALT1)

- 50% cases TollLikeReceptor pathway altered via MYD88 mutations (Leucine265Proline)



PCNSL: large B cell lymphoma confined to the CNS, an organ normally devoid of classical lymphatic dreinage system which tend to remain confined to CNS; Excluded are lymphomas of the dura, intravascular LBCL, lymphomas with simultaneous systemic involvement and immune-deficiency associated lymphomas; EBV negative

late germinal centre exit B cell «permanent GC-stage»

IgM/IgD, BCL6+ SHM/aSHM: in Ig, BCL6 gene, and in tumour suppressor genes such as PAX5, PIM1 and CMYC 25-30% cases BCL6 translocation (>Ig)

but with impaired terminal differentiation

IRF4+ (target of NFKb pathway) negative plasmacellular markers



Dual expressor MYC+/BCL2+ in 85% cases

higher than non CNS-LBCLs almost no dual negative cases
but only 8% are MYC translocated
chemokine-mediated intercellular play between neoplastic B-cells and endothelia, T cells (CD4+/CD8+), macrophages and APC all located at perivascular spaces: fertile micromilieu which maintains proliferation and survival in a permanent BCL6-activated state (CXCR4 and CXCL12)



Immune evasion could be enhanced by the defective HLADR expression on neopalstic cells & by alteration of the cytokine milieu induced by BCL6 and MYD88 anomalies

Mantle Cell Lymphoma

95% t(11;14) (q13;q32) juxtaposes CCND1 gene (11q13) at IGH (14q32) forces overexpression of cyclin D1 (not detected in normal Bly) involved in cell cycle



per se likely not tranforming



The 2016 revision of the World Health Organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms

Two types of clinically indolent variants are

leukemic non-nodal MCL cases are frequently clinically indolent but secondary abnormalities, often involving TP53, may occur and lead to aggressive disease. In **situ MCL/neoplasia:** low rate of progression, characterized by cyclin D1+ cells in the inner mantle zones of follicles in lymphoid tissues that do not otherwise suggest the diagnosis of a MCL; often found incidentally, sometimes in association with other lymphomas. They may be disseminated but appear to have a low rate of progression.





Should be distinguished from overt MCL with a mantle zone growth pattern or other classical MCL with a low proliferative fraction which may also be relatively indolent

• MIPI

- Histology
 (cytology and growth pattern)
- IgVH Mutational Status
 - SOX11 expression
- Proliferative signature/kinetics
- Somatic mutations/genetic aberrations





Growth

in situ/ mantle-zone / nodular / diffuse

diffuse: older pts, >MIPI

No difference in Ki67 index (Median Ki67 diffuse 21%, non-diffuse 20%) BUT: 75% blastoid are diffuse



Start point: mutational status; additional aberrancies follow for progression



SOX11 expression is highly specific for mantle cell lymphoma and identifies the cyclin D1-negative subtype

Ana Mozos,¹ Cristina Royo,¹ Elena Hartmann,² Daphne De Jong,³ Cristina Baró,⁴ Alexandra Valera,¹ Kai Fu,⁵ Dennis D. Weisenburger,⁵ Jan Delabie,⁶ Shih-Sung Chuang,⁷ Elaine S. Jaffe,⁸ Carmen Ruiz-Marcellan,⁹ Sandeep Dave,¹⁰ Lisa Rimsza,¹¹ Rita Braziel,¹² Randy D. Gascoyne,¹³ Francisco Solé,⁴ Armando López-Guillermo,¹ Dolors Colomer,¹ Louis M. Staudt,⁸ Andreas Rosenwald,¹⁴ German Ott,¹⁴ Pedro Jares,¹ and Elias Campo¹

Strong lymphoid nuclear expression of SOX11 transcription factor defines lymphoblastic neoplasms, mantle cell lymphoma and Burkitt's lymphoma

Michael Dictor,¹ Sara Ek,³ Maria Sundberg,¹ Janina Warenholt,¹ Czabafy György,¹ Sandra Sernbo,³ Elin Gustavsson,³ Waleed Abu-Alsoud,^{2*} Torkel Wadström,² and Carl Borrebaeck³

Neuronal transcription factor

Not expressed in other mature lymphomas or lymphocytes

Function in lymphomagenesis not understood

Positive in CCND1pos and CCND1neg cases

good <u>diagnostic biomarker</u>

Possible adjunct in prognostication







<u>The mutational status is somehow related to SOX11</u> <u>expression</u>



Proliferation and clinical behaviour in MCL

Prognostic Value of Ki-67 Index, Cytology, and Growth Pattern in Mantle-Cell Lymphoma: Results From Randomized Trials of the European Mantle Cell

Lymphoma Network J Clin Oncol 34. © 2016 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

Eva Hoster, Andreas Rosenwald, Françoise Berger, Heinz-Wolfram Bernd, Sylvia Hartmann, Christoph Loddenkemper, Thomas F.E. Barth, Nicole Brousse, Stefano Pileri, Grzegorz Rymkiewicz, Roman Kodet, Stephan Stilgenbauer, Roswitha Forstpointner, Catherine Thieblemont, Michael Hallek, Bertrand Coiffier, Ursula Vehling-Kaiser, Réda Bouabdallah, Lothar Kanz, Michael Pfreundschuh, Christian Schmidt, Vincent Ribrag, Wolfgang Hiddemann, Michael Unterhalt, Johanna C. Kluin-Nelemans, Olivier Hermine, Martin H. Dreyling, and Wolfram Klapper

The proliferation gene expression signature is a quantitative integrator of oncogenic events that predicts survival in mantle cell lymphoma

Andreas Rosenwald, ^{1,2} George Wright, ^{1,5} Adrian Wiestner, ^{1,2} Wing C. Chan, ^{1,9} Joseph M. Connors, ^{1,18} Elias Campo, ^{1,8} Randy D. Gascoyne, ^{1,18} Thomas M. Grogan, ^{1,13,17} H. Konrad Muller-Hermelink, ^{1,19} Erlend B. Smeland, ^{1,22} Michael Chiorazzi, ^{1,2} Jena M. Giltnane, ^{1,2} Elaine M. Hurt, ^{1,2} Hong Zhao, ^{1,2} Lauren Averett, ^{1,2} Sarah Henrickson, ^{1,2} Liming Yang, ^{1,7} John Powell, ^{1,7} Wyndham H. Wilson, ^{1,3} Elaine S. Jaffe, ^{1,4} Richard Simon, ^{1,5} Richard D. Klausner, ^{1,6} Emilio Montserrat, ^{1,8} Francesc Bosch, ^{1,8} Timothy C. Greiner, ^{1,9} Dennis D. Weisenburger, ^{1,9} Warren G. Sanger, ^{1,10} Bhavana J. Dave, ^{1,9} James C. Lynch, ^{1,11} Julie Vose, ^{1,12} James O. Armitage, ^{1,12} Richard I. Fisher, ^{1,16,17} Thomas P. Miller, ^{1,14,17} Michael LeBlanc, ^{1,15,17} German Ott, ^{1,19} Stein Kvaloy, ^{1,20} Harald Holte, ^{1,20} Jan Delabie, ^{1,21} and Louis M. Staudt^{1,2*} KI67 and OS/PFS No difference between <10% or <30% and 40-50% or >50% So CUT OFF 30%





<u>Ki67 and MIPI (MIPI-b)</u> Ki-67 not correlated with age but with PS, LDH, WBC

> low/intermediate MIPI: median ki67 19%

> > high risk MIPI median ki67 27%

blastoid MCL (median 80%)

Ki67 and cytology



Within each cytology subset higher Ki-67 index relates with worse outcome

Histology (cytology and growth pattern)
 IgVH Mutational Status
 SOX11 expression

Proliferative signature/kinetics

 Somatic mutations and other genetic aberrations

Landscape of somatic mutations and clonal evolution in mantle cell lymphoma PNAS | November 5, 2013

Sílvia Beà^{a,1}, Rafael Valdés-Mas^b, Alba Navarro^a, Itziar Salaverria^a, David Martín-Garcia^a, Pedro Jares^a, Eva Giné^a, Magda Pinyol^a, Cristina Royo^a, Ferran Nadeu^a, Laura Conde^a, Manel Juan^a, Guillem Clot^a, Pedro Vizán^c, Luciano Di Croce^c, Diana A. Puente^b, Mónica López-Guerra^a, Alexandra Moros^a, Gael Roue^a, Marta Aymerich^a, Neus Villamor^a, Lluís Colomo^a, Antonio Martínez^a, Alexandra Valera^a, José I. Martín-Subero^a, Virginia Amador^a, Luis Hernández^a, Maria Rozman^a, Anna Enjuanes^a, Pilar Forcada^d, Ana Muntañola^d, Elena M. Hartmann^e, María J. Calasanz^f, Andreas Rosenwald^e, German Ott⁹, Jesús M. Hernández-Rivas^h, Wolfram Klapperⁱ, Reiner Siebert^j, Adrian Wiestner^k, Wyndham H. Wilson^I, Dolors Colomer^a, Armando López-Guillermo^a, Carlos López-Otín^{b,2}, Xose S. Puente^{b,1,2}, and Elías Campo^{a,1,2}

"SECONDARY" ONCOGENIC EVENTS

• one of the most "rearranged" lymphoma deletions, gains, point mutations target genes involved in molecular pathways, inducing their constitutive activation •Usually secondary, but subclones can be present at onset and expand later Impact on progression (of both classic and indolent forms)

3 MCL gene drivers



ATM 41% virtually absent in SOX11-/ Mutated cases; worse impact

CCND1 35% : equally distributed; not defined impact

TP53 28% : equally distributed; worse impact; specific aberrancy in indolent cases





"chromatin modifiers" genes

(WHSC1 and MLL2 code for histione-methyltransferases)

WHSC1 10% MLL2 14% MEF2B 3% VIRTUALLY ABSENT IN SOX11-/MUTATED CASES Jared et al. JClinInvest 2012



NOTCH1 (4.7%) NOTCH2 (5.2%) Usually alone, not together

<u>Adverse biological</u> <u>features (blastoid/</u> <u>pleomorphic) & shorter</u> <u>OS</u>



NOTCH1 12%

No differences with non mutated cases as for stage, IPI, histology

Not related with progression (≠BCLL Richter)

Worse OS (not PFS)

Kridel et al. Blood 2912



Yi S et al. Oncotarget. 2015

Choe JY et al. Histopat 2016

Durot E et al. Leuk Lymph 2013

MYC alterations usually as secondary events

More often blastoid high WBC count/bm+ splenomegaly elevated LDH *Complex karyotype *very aggressive (few reach CR which is short; BL-like regimens

Double-hit mantle cell lymphoma with MYC gene rearrangement or amplification: a report of four cases and review of the literature Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2013

Reza Setoodeh^{1,2}, Stuart Schwartz³, Peter Papenhausen³, Ling Zhang^{1,2}, Elizabeth M Sagatys^{1,2}, Lynn C Moscinski^{1,2}, Haipeng Shao^{1,2}

aberrancies in CELL-CYCLE genes





 CONTINUOUS ACTIVATION OF CYCLINS OR CYCLIN MEDIATED PROTEINS INDUCING PROLIFERATION

· INHIBITION OF P53

Point mutations/deletions RB1 activates E2F

Deletion of CDKN2A (9p21

Amplification of CDK4 or MDM2



activation of key proteins of signaling pathways

activation of key proteins of signaling pathways



Peripheral T-cell lymphomas

Published data in the recent years have improved our knowledge also for PTCL and have had impact on their COO-classification and on their prognostic stratification which will be included in the 2016 WHO Iqbal J et al. Blood 2014

·PTCL: molecular classification and morphology and behaviour

•PTCLnos is the category more heterogeneously diagnosed



ALCL



Α

(B)

Pathological vs molecular diagnosis comparison.

2

Substantial number of cases from PTCL-NOS were molecularly classified into WHO recognized PTCL subgroups:

- (i) AITL (n = 21, 14%);
- (ii) ALK(-)ALCL (n = 17, 11%);

ENKTL

- ATLL (n = 4, 3%); (iii)
- yδ-PTCL (n = 13, 9%). (iv)

However, 26 AITL cases (22%) were not molecularly classifiable and changed to PTCL-NOS

PTCL, with FOLLICULAR HELPER T-CELL PHENOTYPE

CD279/PD1, CD10, BCL6, CXCL13, ICOS, SAP and CCR5 at least two or preferably three antigens are required

ANGIOIMMUNOBLASTIC T-CELL LYMPHOMA FOLLICULAR VARIANT OF PTCL, NOS NODAL PTCL, NOS, with FHT-CELL PHENOTYPE

•Share similar signature at GEP (FTH related signature)

Recurrent genetic abnormalities (TET2, IDH2, DNMT3A, RHOA, CD28 mutations, gene fusions ITK-SYK or CTLA4-CD28)
Possible targeted (e.g. epigenetic modifiers)
May contain B-cell blasts, often EBV-positive, sometimes resembling Hodgkin-Reed Sternberg cells (leading to HL misdiagnosis)
Possible progression to EBV-positive LBCL (more rarely EBV-negative)
Due to clinical/genetic differences definition of the subtype is required



Iqbal J et al. Blood 2014;123:2915







good correspondence with IHC; no correlation with CD4 and CD8





good correspondence with IHC

T-cell markers + TFH-related markers GATA3/Tbet Cytotoxic markers / B cell markers CD30





CD45+/-, EMA +/-, TCM -/+, CD43+, Cytotoxic Markers +, PAX5-





PTCLNOS cases reclassified as ALCL were all

ALK-, CD30 strongly expressed, Large cell, Anaplastic morphology Cytotoxic markers expressed Lower TCR associated markers

CD30-positive peripheral T-cell lymphomas share molecular and phenotypic features





ALCL associated signature: pSTAT3 up & CD3 down ALK+ associated signature: CEBPbeta, Cyclin D3 Gene expression profiling uncovers molecular classifiers for the recognition of

Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma within Peripheral T-cell neoplasms

JCO, 2010; 28:1583-90.

Roberto Piva^{1,2}, Luca Agnelli^{3*}, Elisa Pellegrino^{1*}, Katia Todoerti³, Valentina Grosso¹, Ilaria Tamagno¹, Alessandro Fornari¹, Barbara Martinoglio⁴, Enzo Medico⁴, Alberto Zamò⁵, Fabio Facchetti⁶, Maurilio Ponzoni⁷, Eva Geissinger⁸, Andreas Rosenwald⁸, Hans Konrad Müller-Hermelink⁸, Cristiane De Wolf-Peeters⁹, Pier Paolo Piccaluga¹⁰, Stefano Pileri¹⁰, Antonino Neri³, Giorgio Inghirami^{1,2}

Identification of a three-gene model as a powerful diagnostic tool for the recognition of ALK negative ALCL Blood, 2012;120;1274-81,

Luca Agnelli, Elisabetta Mereu, Elisa Pellegrino, Tania Limongi, Ivo Kwee, Elisa Bergaggio, Maurilio Ponzoni, Alberto Zamò, Javeed Iqbal, Pier Paolo Piccaluga, Antonino Neri, John C. Chan, Stefano Pileri, Francesco Bertoni, Giorgio Inghirami and Roberto Piva

Cancer Cell

Convergent Mutations and Kinase Fusions Lead to Oncogenic STAT3 Activation in Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma

ALK-negative ALCL is no longer considered provisional



However there are differences that can be assessed and impact on prognosis
Brief report

Discovery of recurrent t(6;7)(p25.3;q32.3) translocations in ALK-negative anaplastic large cell lymphomas by massively parallel genomic sequencing

Andrew L. Feldman,¹ Ahmet Dogan,¹ David I. Smith,¹ Mark E. Law,¹ Stephen M. Ansell,² Sarah H. Johnson,³ Julie C. Porcher,² Nazan Özsan,⁴ Eric D. Wieben,⁶ Bruce W. Eckloff,⁶ and George Vasmatzis³

eration'

PF Al Sit (6 ne

¹Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ²Division of Hematology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ²Department of Molecular Medicine and Center for Individualized Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN; ⁴Department of Pathology, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey; and ⁶Advanced Genomics Technology Center, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

The genetics of peripheral T-cell lymphomas are poorly understood. The most well-characterized abnormalities are translocations involving *ALK*, occurring in approximately half of anaplastic large cell approximately half of anaplastic large cell the genetics of ALCLs lacking *ALK* translocations, we combined mate-pair DNA library construction, massively parallel ("Next Gen-

ALK-negative anaplastic large cell lymphoma is a genetically heterogeneous disease with widely disparate clinical outcomes

Edgardo R. Parilla Castellar, Elaine S. Jaffe, Jonathan W. Said, Steven H. Swerdlow, Rhett P. Ketterling, Ryan A. Knudson, Jagmohan S. Sidhu, Eric D. Hsi, Shridevi Karikehalli, Liuyan Jiang, George Vasmatzis, Sarah E. Gibson, Sarah Ondrejka, Alina Nicolae, Karen L. Grogg, Cristine Allmer, Kay M. Ristow, Wyndham H. Wilson, William R. Macon, Mark E. Law, James R. Cerhan, Thomas M. Habermann, Stephen M. Ansell, Ahmet Dogan, Matthew J. Maurer and Andrew L. Feldman

DUSPP22 (Dual-Phosphatase 22, close to IRF4 gene) translocation: 30% absent in ALK+ALCLs; more classic ALCL morphology; CD30+ALCL skin (Wada DA et al.2011) Not definable on ihc, Cytotoxic neg, EMA neg, T-cell markers pos

> Rearranged/translocated TP63 (TP53 homologue) on 3q28: 8% Less anaplastic morphology than in DUSP22 cases All cases are P63+ at ihc (useful in iHC) No other phenotypic original features, but usually EMA-



Five-year overall survival rates were 85% for ALK-positive ALCLs, 90% for DUSP22-rearranged ALCLs, 17% for TP63-rearranged ALCLs, and

42% for cases lacking all three genetic markers (p<0.0001).

Possible therapy as ALK+ (only CHOP with no high dose BEAM like followed by AST?)

PRDM1/BLIMP1 is commonly inactivated in anaplastic large **T-cell lymphoma**

Michela Bol,¹ Andrea Rinaldi,¹ Ivo Kwee,¹⁻⁹ Paola Bonetti,¹ Maria Todaro,⁴ Fabrizio Tabbò,⁴ Roberto Piva,^{4,5} Paola M. V. Rancoita,^{1,2} András Matoksy,⁰ Botond Timar,⁰ Thomas Tousseyn,⁷ Socorro Maria Rodríguez-Pinilla,⁸ Miguel A. Piris,⁸ Silvia Beà,⁰ Elias Campo,⁰ Govind Bhagat,¹⁰ Steven H. Swerdlow,¹¹ Andreas Rosenwald,¹² Maurillo Ponzoni,¹⁹ Ken H. Young,¹⁴ Pier Paolo Piccaluga,¹⁵ Reinhard Dummer,¹⁶ Stefano Pileri,¹⁵ Emanuele Zucca,¹⁷ Glorgio Inghirami,^{4,5} and Francesco Bertoni^{1,17} Giorgio Inghirami, 4.5

Giorgio Inghirami, ²² and Francesco Berton²²¹ ¹, Jymphoma and Genomics Research Program, IOR Institute of Oncology Research, Belinzona, Switzerland; ²Dalle Molle Institute for Artificial Intelligence ^(IDSIA), Marno, Statzelland, ²SIB Switzerland, ²SIB Switzerland, ²Bib Switzerland, ²Bib

Key Points

- The commonest lesions in anaplastic large cell
- in anaplastic large cell hymphomas are losses at 17p13 and at 6q21, or an anaplastic large quarter of the cases *PRDM1* (BLIMP1) gene (6q21) is inactivated by multiple mechanisms and acts as a tumor suppressor gene in anaplastic large B-cell hymphoma.

Anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL) is a mature T-cell lymphoma that can present as a systemic or primary cutaneous disease. Systemic ALCL represents 2% to 5% of adult lym-phoma but up to 30% of all pediatric cases. Two subtryles of systemic ALCL are cultured by phoma but up to 30% of all pediatric cases. Two subtryles of systemic ALCL are cultured by phoma but up to 30% of all pediatric cases. Two subtryles of systemic ALCL are cultured by phoma but up to 30% of all pediatric cases. Two subtryles of systemic ALCL are cultured by phoma but up to 30% of all pediatric cases. Two subtryles of systemic ALCL are cultured by phoma but up to 30% of all pediatric cases. Two subtryles of a systemic ALCL are cultured by promotion of the pathogenesis of both ALCL subtryles. To investigate the molecular patho-genesis and to assess the relationship between the ALK⁺ and ALK⁻ ALCL subtryles, we performed a genome-wide DNA profiling us of high the systemic at the process of a systemic at the performed as the systemic at th

PRDM1/BLIMP1 is commonly inactivated in anaplastic large **T-cell lymphoma**

Michela Bol,¹ Andrea Rinaldi,¹ Ivo Kwee,¹⁻³ Paola Bonetti,¹ Maria Todaro,⁴ Fabrizio Tabbò,⁴ Roberto Piva,^{4,5} Paola M. V. Rancoita.^{1,2} András Matolcsv.⁶ Botond Timar.⁶ Thomas Toussevn.⁷ Socorro Maria Rodríguez-Pinilla.⁸ Miguel A. Piris,⁸ Sílvia Beà,⁹ Elias Campo,⁹ Govind Bhagat,¹⁰ Steven H. Swerdlow,¹¹ Andreas Rosenwald,¹² Maurillo Ponzoni,¹³ Ken H. Young,¹⁴ Pier Paolo Piccaluga,¹⁵ Reinhard Dummer,¹⁶ Stefano Pileri,¹⁵ Emanuele Zucca,¹⁷ Giorgio Inghirami,4,5 and Francesco Bertoni1,17

¹Lymphoma and Genomics Research Program, IOR Institute of Oncology Research, Bellinzona, Switzerland; ²Daile Molle Institute for Artificial Intelligence (IDSIA), Manno, Switzerland: ³SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland; ⁴Department of Pathology and Center for Experimental Research and Medical Studies (CeRIMS), University of Turin, Turin, Italy; ⁵Department of Pathology and NYU Cancer Center, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY; ⁶Semmetweis University, Budapest, Hungary; ⁷Translational Cell and Tissue Research, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ⁶Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla, Santander, Spair; ⁹Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spair; ¹⁰Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Department of Pathology and Cell Biology, Columbia University Medical Center and New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY; ¹¹Department of Pathology, Division of Hematopathology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA; ¹²Institute of Pathology, University of Wuerzburg, Wuerzburg, Germany; ¹³Unit of Lymphoid Malignancies, Department of Onco-Haematology, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy, ¹⁴Department of Hematopathology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; ¹⁵S. Orsola-Malpighi Hospital, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ¹⁶Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; and ¹⁷Lymphoma Unit, IOSI Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Bellinzona, Switzerland

Key Points The commonest lesions in anaplastic large cell lymphomas are losses at 17p13 and at 6q21, concomitant in up to onequarter of the cases. PRDM1 (BLIMP1) gene (6q21) is inactivated by multiple mechanisms and acts as a tumor suppressor gene in anaplastic large B-cell lymphoma.

Anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL) is a mature T-cell lymphoma that can present as a systemic or primary cutaneous disease. Systemic ALCL represents 2% to 5% of adult lymphoma but up to 30% of all pediatric cases. Two subtypes of systemic ALCL are currently recognized on the basis of the presence of a translocation involving the anaplastic lymphoma kinase ALK gene. Despite considerable progress, several questions remain open regarding the pathogenesis of both ALCL subtypes. To investigate the molecular pathogenesis and to assess the relationship between the ALK⁺ and ALK⁻ ALCL subtypes, we performed a genome-wide DNA profiling using high-density, single nucleotide polymorphism arrays on a series of 64 cases and 7 cell lines. The commonest lesions were losses at 17p13 and at 6q21, encompassing the TP53 and PRDM1 genes, respectively. The latter gene, coding for BLIMP1, was inactivated by multiple mechanisms, more frequently, but not exclusively, in ALK⁻ALCL. In vitro and in vivo experiments showed that that PRDM1 is a tumor suppressor gene in ALCL models, likely acting as an antiapoptotic agent. Losses of TP53 and/or PRDM1 were present in 52% of ALK-ALCL, and in 29% of all ALCL cases with a clinical implication. (Blood. 2013;122(15):2683-2693)



much higher in ALK- than ALK+ ALCL not related to ihc



ALCL signature (both ALK+ and ALK-)

•Common signature unique origin & common genes are ALK independent •Differentiate from PTCL NOS •Roughly 30 genes •Upregulated in ALCL independent of ALK: •TNFRSF8(CD30), SNFT, PERP2, BATF3, GGT1, LGALS1, •low TCR related activation genes (LCK, FYB, CSK1)





ALK signature (from ALK+ALCL cell lines)

•Mainly related to ALK/STAT signaling •Genes that mostly correlated with ALK+ (PRF1, GAS1; IL1RAP, CCDN3, BCL3) ALKnegALCL signature: 3 gene-classifier: TNFRSF8, BATF3, TMOD1

Anaplastic large-cell lymphoma

Giorgio Inghirami, MD,^{a,b} Stefano A. Pileri, MD,^c and the European T-Cell Lymphoma Study Group

The oncogenic role of ALK fusion proteins

Small Molecule ALK-Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors



ALK is necessary and sufficient to induce transformation (impact of anti-ALK drugs- crizotinib) Translocations involving ALK produce fusion proteins with constitutive tyrosine kinase activity engagement of intracellular pathways American Journal of Surgical Pathology: July 2012 - Volume 36 - Issue 7 - p 1000–1008 doi: 10.1097/PAS.ob013e31825749b1 Original Articles

Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma Associated With Breast Implants: A Report of 13 Cases

Aladily, Tariq N. MD^{*}; Medeiros, L. Jeffrey MD^{*}; Amin, Mitual B. MD[†]; Haideri, Nisreen MD[‡]; Ye, Dongjiu MD[§]; Azevedo, Sergio J. MD[□]; Jorgensen, Jeffrey L. MD, PhD^{*}; de Peralta-Venturina, Mariza MD[¶]; Mustafa, Eid B. MD[#]; Young, Ken H. MD, PhD^{*}; You, M. James MD, PhD^{*}; Fayad, Luis E. MD^{**}; Blenc, Ann Marie MD[†]; Miranda, Roberto N. MD^{*}



WHO 2016

Both saline and silicone filled implants median interval from implant 10 years

Neoplastic cells confined to the seroma fluid, without invasion of the capsule: conservative management recommended (removal of the implant and capsule) With invasion through the capsule: risk of lymph node involvement and systemic spread, warrant chemotherapy