# PV ed ET alla diagnosi: score prognostici e fattori biologici



Francesco Passamonti Università dell'Insubria Varese - Italia

# Disclosures

- Speaker bureau: Novartis, Celgene
- Advisory boards: Celgene, Novartis, Roche, Janssen, Incyte, Abbvie.

# **Events in PV, results from a meta-analysis**

- Incidence rate of thrombosis:
  - Median value: 3.3% persons/year
  - 1.9% at 60 years with no history of thrombosis (low risk patients)
  - 6.8% at a median age of 80 years
- Risk of myelofibrosis:
  - 0.9%, 5% and 34% at 1, 5 and 10 years
  - The odds increase on average 6% for each year of age
- Risk of blast phase
  - 0.4%, stable incidence over time

Ferrari et al., Haematologica. 2019

### Pipobroman/Hydroxyurea and AML occurrence in PV



• PV patients treated with HU alone (N=94 for 12 yrs) and PB alone (N=130 for 9.5 yrs)

Kiladjian et al, J. Clin. Oncol. 2011

### Abnormal karyotype impacts on AML-free survival in PV

Predictors of leukemia-free survival	N	Univariate p-values	Age-adjusted p- values	Multivariate p-values (HR; 95% Cl) ª	Multivariate p-values (HR; 95% CI) <sup>b</sup>
Age	1545	0.0002			
Age > median (61y)	1545	0.005		0.007 (2.2;1.3-4.1)	0.004 (6.3;1.8-22)
Abnormal karyotype	631	0.03	0.03		0.03 (3.9;1.2-13.1)
WBC ≥ 15X10º/L	1545	0.0003	0.0002	0.0004 (2.9;1.6- 5.2)	0.01 (3.9;1.3-11.6)

Post-PV AML: 50/1545 (3%) at a median time of 10.8 yrs

<sup>a</sup> karyotype excluded as a covariate; <sup>b</sup> Karyotype included as a covariate

Tefferi et al., Leukemia. 2013

### **Driver mutations' are predictive of post-MPN AML**



Rumi et al., Blood. 2014



Alvarez-Larran et al., BJH. 2017



- 13.5% risk of AML transformation at 15 years from first sample
- ASXL1, TP53, SRSF2, IDH1/2, RUNX1

Senin et al., Ann. Hematol. 2017

### **Driver mutations and time to progression in PPV/PET MF**



# **NGS-enhanced prediction of survival in PV and ET**

### ET

- SRSF2/SF3B1 mutations (HR 2.8)
- Age >60 years (HR 6.7)
- Male sex (HR 1.8)

### PV

- SRSF2 mutations (HR 7.0)
- Age >67 yrs (HR 5.7)
- Leukocytes ≥11 x 10<sup>9</sup>/I (HR 2.4)
- Abnormal karyotype (HR 2.1)

ET and PV (N=906)

Tefferi et al., ASH 2018



### Essential thrombocythemia

### What is ET: WHO 2008 → 2017



Passamonti & Maffioli, ASH Educational 2016

# JAK2 assessment outperformes the two-tiered risk stratification for thrombosis: the IPSET-t model

(891 WHO-defined ET, follow-up 6.2 years)

#### • Prognostic factors

- Age > 60 years (1 point)
- Thrombosis history (2 points)
- Cardiovascular risk (1 point)
- JAK2 V617F (2 points)

#### IPSET Model

- Low risk (< 2 points): 1.03% p/y
- Intermediate risk (2 points): 2.35% p/y
- High risk (> 2 points): 3.56% p/y



25/05/16, 10:45

Barbui et al. Blood 2012 Dec 20;120(26):5128-33

NO prognostic advantage of CALR mutation over IPSET-t in thrombosis prediction

Finazzi G. et al Blood. 2014 Oct 16;124(16):2611-2

# **Prediction of post-ET MF evolution**



- In the MYSEC study on post-ET MF, CALR T1/T1-like genotype was more frequent (61%) than CALR T2/T2-like
- In the Mayo series, no specific association with post-ET MF have been disclosed among CALR sutypes

Rumi E et al, Blood 2014;123(10):1544-51; Pietra et al. Leukemia. 2016 Feb;30(2):431-8;; Passamonti et al. Leukemia. 2017 Jan 3. doi: 10.1038/leu.2016.351; Elala et al. Am J Hematol. 2016 May;91(5):503-6.

30

### Survival of WHO-based ET: the IPSET model



# No impact of genotype on survival in ET



Tefferi et al, Am J Hematol. 2014 Aug;89(8):E121-4



### polycythemia vera

### What is PV: WHO 2008 → 2017



Passamonti & Maffioli, ASH Educational 2016

# **Conventional prediction of thrombosis in PV**



Cardiovascular risk factors to be corrected/prevented

Extreme thrombocytosis (PLT count >1,500  $\times$ 10<sup>9</sup>/L) implies a higher risk of bleeding

Barbui T et al, J Clin Oncol 2011;29(6):761-70; Marchioli R et al, J Clin Oncol 2005;23(10):2224-32;; Carobbio A et al, Blood 2008;112(8):3135-7

# **Prediction of post-PV MF evolution**

- SMF occur in 12-21% of PV
- Potential predictors of SMF evolution:
  - Detection of BM fibrosis in BM biopsy of PV at diagnosis
  - Some clinical parameters at diagnosis of PV (leukocytosis, splenomegaly, higher JAK2 allele burden) or during PV course (HU-resistant splenomegaly)
  - SRSF2, DNMT3A mutations in PV; -2518 A/G polymorphism of MCP-1 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1)
  - Abnormal cytogenetics

Tefferi et al. Blood. 2014 Oct 16;124(16):2507-13; Barbui et al, J Clin Oncol. 2011 Aug 10;29(23):3179-84; Passamonti et al, Blood 2008; Tefferi et al; Leukemia 2013; Alvarez-Larran et al; Br J Haematol. 2016 Mar;172(5):786-93; Passamonti et al, Blood 2006; Passamonti et al Leukemia Sep;24(9):1574-9; Rumi et al., Blood 2013; Rumi E et al, Blood 2014;123(10):1544-51; Pietra et al. Leukemia. 2016 Feb;30(2):431-8; Passamonti et al. Leukemia. 2017 Jan 3. doi: 10.1038/leu.2016.351; Elala et al. Am J Hematol. 2016 May;91(5):503-6; Lundberg et al, Blood 2014; Bartels et al, Leukemia 2017; Masselli et al, Leukemia 2018

# Prediction of survival in post-PV/ET MF The integrated clinical-molecular model MYSEC-PM

Covariates	Points
Age, years	0.15
Hemoglobin <11 g/dL	2
Platelet < 150 x10 <sup>9</sup> /L	1
Circulating blast cells ≥ 3%	2
CALR-unmutated	2
genotype	
Constitutional symptoms	1



Passamonti et al. Leukemia. 2017 May 31. doi: 10.1038/leu.2017.169

### HCT level is critical in PV management: the CYTO-PV trial



**Primary composite end point:** Death from CV cause or major thrombotic events (stroke, acute coronary syndrome, transient ischemic attack, PE, abdominal thrombosis, DVT, or peripheral arterial thrombosis)

Secondary end point: Primary end point + superficial-vein thrombosis

Marchioli R et al, N Engl J Med 2013;368(1):22-33

# Inadequately controlled PV is a predictive factor

- Recorded in 137 patients (15.4%):
  - Need for phlebotomies (3.3%)
  - Uncontrolled myeloproliferation (1.6%)
  - Failure to reduce massive splenomegaly (0.8%)
  - Cytopenia at the lowest HU-dose to achieve response (1.7%)
  - Extra-haematological toxicity (9%)
- Cytopenia affected survival, progression to MF, AML
- Splenomegaly affected MF

Alvarez-Larran et al; Br J Haematol. 2016 Mar;172(5):786-93

# Conclusions

- Driver mutations enter PV and ET process for diagnosis.
- JAK2, CALR, MPL variants can identify patients with different risk of thrombosis, and myelofibrosis.
- AML occurrence is more disease-based than expected.